Document 129-2 Filed 03/22/06

Page 1 of 34

Case 3:04-cv-00145-LRH-PAL

correct copy of this TRO is attached to the Second Declaration of Dorothy Tovar filed herewith and is suitable for judicial notice, Fed. R. Evid. 201(b), as to its existence. *Transmission Agency of Northern California v. Sierra Pacific Power Co.*, 287 F.3d 771 (9th Cir. 2002). Evidence of this sort is fully admissible to show motive and intent to act in a particular manner. Fed. R. Evid. 402(b). The unhappy relationship between Mark Dickens and Dorothy Tovar raises clear questions as to the credibility of his declaration.

Paragraph 5 of the Dickens Declaration relates alleged statements by Jeremy Pluto to Dickens. These alleged statements are unavoidably in violation of the hearsay rule as they are out of court statements of an absent non-party offered for their truth. Fed. R. Evid. 801(c); Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c) (affidavits shall be made on personal knowledge and on facts admissible in evidence). The statements find no comfort in the exclusions and exceptions from the hearsay rule. so the statements are wholly inadmissible and cannot be considered.

II. DECLARATION OF YOSHIKO INOUE

Yoshiko Inoue is an attorney for LVCVA. Her declaration seeks to authenticate various documents for purposes of judicial notice.

Exhibit A is a Deed of Trust between Tovar and McDonough Holland & Allen PC. This document is wholly irrelevant to this litigation, Fed. R. Evid. 401, except insofar as it reflects the intensity of Tovar's belief in the rightness of her cause.

Exhibit B is a certificate of cancellation of the Nevada registration of the WHAT HAPPENS HERE STAYS HERE mark for clothing. This document has never been produced during discovery in this action, despite specific requests seeking all information and documents relating to that mark. See Tovar's 1st Req. for Prod. of Docs to R&R, #10, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Having failed to produce this document or information concerning it in discovery, R&R and LVCVA should be barred from relying on this document on this motion.

Exhibit O is said to be a printout of pages at www.rrpartners.com. This document is objectionable on multiple grounds. First, the printout is the website as of March 12, 2006, a date of no relevance at all to the litigants or the litigation. Second, this document contains alleged facts

without support or source, constituting hearsay and multiple layers of hearsay, The author of this document is not revealed, and there is plainly no swearing even attempting to support this document.

Finally, Exhibit P is said to be a printout of a Lexis People Search purporting to show that Jerome Pluto has some form of interest in or residence at 5541 Ralston Way in Placerville at various times. The source of this data is entirely unclear, and the information itself establishes it has errors because it refers to Dorothy L. Pluto, not Dorothy Tovar. There is no basis on which to conclude that this document has any accuracy, and it is unquestionably hearsay.

III. <u>DECLARATION OF TERRY JICINSKY</u>

Terry Jicinsky is employed at LVCVA and was deposed in this litigation. His declaration differs from his deposition in significant respects and, on that basis, is inadmissible on a motion for summary judgment. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is an extract from Jicinsky's deposition, reflecting the content of his testimony. Specifically Mr. Jicinsky was asked:

- Q. All right. Does the Las Vegas -- does the LVCVA attach "What Happens Here Stays Here" to clothing?
 - A. Not on a regular basis. I have -- we have used it once on internal T-shirts.
- Q. Were those internal T-shirts publicly available? Could the public purchase one of these T-shirts?
 - A. No, they could not.

"The general rule in the Ninth Circuit is that a party cannot create an issue of fact by an affidavit contradicting his prior deposition testimony." *Kennedy v. Allied Mut. Ins. Co.*, 952 F.2d 262, 266 (9th Cir. 1991). Jicinsky attempts to further alter his deposition by stating that LVCVA has "passed out clothing for promotional purposes" at no cost to the recipient. Not only does this differ from his deposition, but it is meaningless due to the lack of detail. What kind of clothing? How many articles of clothing, passed out to how many people? What period of time—one occasion out of a number of years or many occasions? The total lack of detail and inconsistency with previous deposition testimony deprives this declaration of any substantive meaning.

28 ||/



IV. <u>DECLARATION OF ROSSI RALENKOTTER</u>

Rossi Ralenkotter's Declaration contains inadmissible hearsay in paragraphs 4 ("R&R advised me"), 6 ("Mr. Vassiliadis agreed"), 7 ("R&R identified" "R&R assessed"), and 8 ("Mr. Vassiliadis told me"). All of these hearsay statements are incompetent and may not be considered. Fed. R. Evid. 801(c); Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c).

Ralenkotter makes a number of statements in his declaration that are contrary to interrogatory answers given in this litigation as well as his earlier declaration. When asked to state the basis for its assertion that it owned the WHHSH slogan, R&R previously responded that "R&R first started using the mark on or before December 27, 2002 and the mark became instantly famous." Second Ballard Dec. at Exh. 1, Response No. 7. R&R does not mention any oral assignment between the Plaintiffs. Second, Ralenkotter's declaration disclosing his participation in the process culminating in the written assignment does not mention any alleged oral assignment. First Ralenkotter Dec. In fact, Ralenkotter testified during deposition that he did not know the meaning of the word "assign." Second Ballard Dec. at Exh. 14 at 37:16 – 41:7. Ralenkotter's testimony is consistent only with his grant to R&R of the right to sue to enforce the mark. *Id.* Third, the written assignment agreement eventually entered into lacks any mention of the alleged oral assignment. First Ballard Dec. at Exh. 31. The amendment to that Agreement, moreover, created to "more accurately reflect the intention of the Parties," also does not mention the alleged oral assignment. Puschnig Decl. at Exh. A. There is, literally, no evidence of any such oral agreement. Ralenkotter's Declaration is incompetent and must be disregarded in all those respects.

V. <u>DECLARATION OF JAMES RATHNER</u>

The Rathner declaration describes an e-mail in significant detail yet provides no copy of it as an exhibit. Rule 1002 of the Federal Rules of Evidence requires that the original writing be produced when a litigant seeks to prove the contents of a writing. *United States v. Bennett*, 363 F.3d 947, 953-954 (9th Cir. 2004). A duplicate can be admitted in proper circumstances under Rule 1003, but no duplicate is offered. None of the grounds for proceeding without the original or a duplicate is satisfied in this instance. See Fed. R. Evid. 1004-1007. Accordingly, all mention of the e-mail and its contents should be stricken.

Paragraph 4 of the Rathner Declaration is also objectionable in that it contains inadmissible opinion testimony of a lay witness contrary to Rule 701 of the Federal Rules of Evidence. Opinions offered by James Rathner are incompetent and irrelevant.

VI. <u>DECLARATION OF MIKE H. SLOAN</u>

Paragraph 3 of the Declaration of Mike H. Sloan is objectionable because its implications are not supported by its facts. Sloan states that he "began seeing T-shirts with a slogan sufficiently similar to WHAT HAPPENS HERE STAYS HERE that [he] understood the T-shirts to be in some way associated with LVCVA" There is no indication that the T-shirts in question were related in any way to Tovar. Sloan does not identify the slogan appearing on the T-shirts he saw, nor the source of the T-shirts. These shirts could have been knock-offs of Tovar's shirts or shirts that were similar but differed in any of a variety of ways. As Plaintiffs themselves note, there are many slogans in the "What Happens *** Stays ***" format. Sloan does not even state that the T-shirt he saw even used Tovars WHAT HAPPENS in VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS mark. As a result, this declaration lacks any relevance and must be disregarded. Fed. R. Evid. 401.

VII. DECLARATION OF WILLIAM VASSILIADIS

William Vassiliadis is employed at R&R. He responded to interrogatories for R&R in this litigation. His interrogatory answers differ from his declaration in significant respects and, on that basis, is inadmissible on a motion for summary judgment. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** are the interrogatory answers, reflecting the variations in content. "The general rule in the Ninth Circuit is that a party cannot create an issue of fact by an affidavit contradicting his prior deposition testimony." *Kennedy v. Allied Mut. Ins. Co.*, 952 F.2d 262, 266 (9th Cir. 1991).

Paragraph 4 of his declaration uses the phrase "R&R began seeing." As an artificial entity, R&R could not see in the manner reflected. If some of its employees began seeing, then the paragraph is reciting hearsay containing statements by the employees. Vassiliadis then states that "R&R notified LCVCA," another phrase that denies the reader knowledge of the identity of the actor. None of paragraph 4 survives scrutiny.

27 ||///

///



Paragraph 5 describes discussions with Rossi Ralenkotter in such vague terms that one cannot determine where the hearsay begins and ends. Paragraph 6 is even more objectionable because it recites blatant hearsay intermingled with vague discussions.

Paragraph 7 describes an oral agreement for transfer of the trademark in violation of federal and Nevada law, as described above. For these reasons, the Vassiliadis declaration is inadmissible.

VIII. CONCLUSION

These declarations are last minute attempts to manufacture "actual" evidence of confusion in the marketplace and a lawful basis for the oral assignment of WHHSH from LVCVA to R&R. The Court should neither countenance nor credit these declarations.

DATED: March 21, 2006

McDONOUGH HOLLAND & ALLEN PC Attorneys at Law

By: /s/ Daniel N. Ballard
DANIEL N. BALLARD

Attorneys for Dorothy Tovar and Adrenaline Sports, Inc



DEFINITIONS

YOU and YOUR means and refers to R&R, and its officers, directors, employees, 1. divisions, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors-in-interest, agents, parent corporations,



27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

representatives, attorneys, investigators, and consultants.

- PERSON and PERSONS, and the possessive form of those terms, mean and include 2. natural persons, corporations, associations, organizations, partnerships, joint ventures, and any other kind of business or other entity.
- RELATING TO, RELATED TO, RELATE TO, and RELATES TO mean 3. containing, alluding to, responding to, connected with, regarding, discussing, involving, showing, describing, analyzing, reflecting, identifying, incorporating, referring to, or in any way pertaining to.
- INCLUDING means including, in conjunction with, in cooperation with, together 4. with, and accompanied by, all without limitation.
- 5. DOCUMENT and DOCUMENTS mean and refer to all documents of any type and description as identified in and within the scope of Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a) and the 1970 Advisory Committee Note thereto, and all forms of writings and recordings as defined in Fed. R. Evid. 10001(1). The term includes, but is not limited to, printed, typewritten, handwritten, computercreated or machine-generated documents or reproductions thereof, of whatever character, including without limitation; letters, memoranda, contracts, agreements, addenda, disclosures, offers, counteroffers, printed or unprinted electronic mail, telephone records or logs, telegrams, facsimile transmissions, handwritten notations, diaries, calendar notations, appointment books, meeting minutes or descriptions thereof, time sheets, bank account records, billing sheets, expense accounts, expense account reports, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, photograph records, data processing reports and forms, data compilations from which information can be obtained or translated, advertisements, and any non-identical copies of any of the foregoing, or combinations of any of the foregoing whether original, draft, or copy. This definition applies to all DOCUMENTS on the particular subject in YOUR possession, custody, or control, or that of YOUR attorneys, agents, employees, officers, directors, or representatives, irrespective of who generated, prepared, or signed the **DOCUMENTS**.
- COMMUNICATION or COMMUNICATIONS mean any transmission of 6. information from one person to another, including, but not limited to; letter, memorandum, telegraph, facsimile transmission, electronic mail, inter-office and intra-office communications,



Case 3:04-cv-00145-LRH-PAL

Filed 03/22/06

2 3

> 4 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

verbal contact in face-to-face meetings, telephone conversation, or otherwise.

- SPECIMEN means any tangible thing and includes, but is not limited to, electronic 7. and tape media.
- The singular shall be deemed to include the plural and vise versa; the disjunctive ("or") shall be deemed to include the conjunctive ("and"); and the conjunctive ("and") shall be deemed to include the disjunctive ("or").

INSTRUCTIONS

- YOU are required to produce all DOCUMENTS and tangible things in YOUR 9. possession, custody, or control that are requested herein. Copies of DOCUMENTS containing notations, interlineations, or other legible information added to an original or copy of a DOCUMENT is considered separate and distinct from any other copy of the identical **DOCUMENT** and shall be produced.
- YOU are required by this Request for Production and Fed. R. Civ. P 34(b) to either 10. produce the DOCUMENTS as kept in the usual course of business or have the DOCUMENTS organized and labeled to correspond to each Request set forth below. When produced in the usual course of business or as labeled to correspond to each Request set forth below, the DOCUMENTS and tangible things shall be produced with the folders, or copies thereof, kept by the PERSON who had the DOCUMENTS in his, her, or its possession, custody, or control so that Tovar will know who had the DOCUMENT or thing in his, her, or its possession, custody, or control.
- If any responsive DOCUMENT no longer exists, cannot be located, is not in YOUR 11. possession, or cannot be produced in full, YOU are required to identify it, describe its subject matter, describe its disposition, identify all PERSONS with knowledge of its disposition, and produce the **DOCUMENT** to the extent possible.
- As to any DOCUMENTS for which YOU assert a privilege, immunity, or other protection, YOU are required to fully comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5) including, but not limited to, identifying the DOCUMENTS with particularity by stating their date of creation, intended recipients, subject matter, number of pages, and the full name and job title of each PERSON who has seen either the original or a copy of the DOCUMENTS, or has knowledge of their subject



110 040 1041

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

matter or contents, and explain the grounds and precise nature of each privilege, immunity or other protection claimed.

These Requests shall be deemed continuing in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b). 13.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

- All DOCUMENTS required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(B), (C) and (D). 1.
- All DOCUMENTS YOU identified or referred to in YOUR responses to Tovar's 2. First Set of Interrogatories that are not produced in response to the following Requests.
- All DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO YOUR assertion that consumers associate 3. the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" with YOU.
- All DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO YOUR assertion that consumers associate 4. the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS with YOU.
- All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any good or service YOU have sold, offered 5. for sale, or licensed, or intend to sell, offer for sale, or license, under or in connection with the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."
- All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any good or service YOU have sold, offered for sale, or licensed, or intend to sell, offer for sale, or license, under or in connection with the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.
- 7. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any good or service that any of YOUR licensees have sold or offered for sale, or intend to sell or offer for sale, under or in connection with, the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."
- 8. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any good or service that any of YOUR licensees have sold or offered for sale, or intend to sell or offer for sale, under or in connection with, the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.
- All DOCUMENTS comprising or RELATING TO YOUR U.S. Trademark 9. Applications, Serial Nos. 76/619,984 and 76/582,191, for the term "What Happens Here Stays Here," including, but not limited to, COMMUNICATIONS and the file history or file wrapper.
- All DOCUMENTS comprising or RELATING TO any state trademark applications 10. for the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" including, but not limited to, COMMUNICATIONS



and the file history or file wrapper.

2 3

4

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27

- All COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and any third party RELATING TO 11. YOUR, or any other person's, right to use, own, or preclude others from using or owning, the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."
- All COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and any third party RELATING TO 12. YOUR, or any other person's, right to use, own, or preclude others from using or owning, the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.
- 13. All DOCUMENTS comprising or RELATING TO any licenses, assignments, or other agreements between YOU and any third party that RELATES TO the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."
- All DOCUMENTS comprising or RELATING TO any licenses, assignments, or 14. other agreements between YOU and any third party that RELATES TO the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.
- All COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and any third party RELATING TO any 15. and all trademark or service mark applications YOU contemplated filing, filed, or intend to file for the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."
- 16. A SPECIMEN of each good that is being used or intended to be used by YOU or YOUR licensees on which the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" appears.
- 17. A SPECIMEN of each good that is being used or intended to be used by YOU or YOUR licensees on which the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS appears.
- 18. A SPECIMEN of each label, packaging, tag, or other source identifier that is being used or intended to be used by YOU or YOUR licensees on which the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" appears.
- 19. A SPECIMEN of each label, packaging, tag, or other source identifier that is being used or intended to be used by YOU or YOUR licensees on which the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS appears.
- A SPECIMEN of each advertisement in which YOU or YOUR licensees have used 20. or intend to use the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."

- 1
- 2
- 4
- 5
- 7
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25
- 26

- 28
- MHA."

- 21. A SPECIMEN of each advertisement in which YOU or YOUR licensees have used or intend to use the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.
- 22. A SPECIMEN of each webcast and television and radio broadcast in which YOU or any of YOUR licensees have used or intend to use the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."
- 23. A SPECIMEN of each webcast and television and radio broadcast in which YOU or any of YOUR licensees have used or intend to use the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.
- All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO the date the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" was first used by YOU or on YOUR behalf, either alone, or in combination with other terms or designs, in any manner, in connection with any good or service, including use in commerce and use anywhere in the United States.
- 25. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR proposed, intended, or actual use of the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS, whether internal or available to public or customers, including, without limitation, business plans, financial estimates, advertising designs and mock ups, marketing memoranda and materials, or advertising materials in the form of any online and/or Internet marketing or advertising, web sites, electronic kiosks, electronic commerce devices, trade shows, sales pitches, customer brochures, direct mail, contests, job fairs, events at any University or school, catalogs, labels, and packaging.
- 26. All DOCUMENTS comprising or RELATING TO any opinion requested by or received by YOU regarding YOUR use or adoption of the term "What Happens Here Stays Here," YOUR right to use or register any marks or designations consisting of or including the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" or whether YOUR use or adoption of the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" would infringe or not infringe upon the rights of any other entity or Person.
- 27. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any instances of actual or perceived confusion, mistake, or deception as to the source of YOUR goods or services branded under or in connection with the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."
- 28. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any efforts by YOU to protect, enforce, monitor, or otherwise maintain YOUR alleged rights to exclusively use or own the term "What

Happens Here Stays Here" as a trade name, trademark, service mark, or any other legal right.

- 3
- 4
- 6

- 7 8
- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26 27
- 28

- All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR alleged rights to exclusively use or 29. own the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS as a trade name, trademark, service mark, or any other legal right.
- Financial DOCUMENTS, including but not limited to, invoices, billing documents, 30. or accounting documents, RELATING TO the annual sales or revenues in units and dollars for goods or services provided by YOU and YOUR licensees under or in connection with the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" from the earliest date of YOUR or YOUR licensees alleged first use of the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" in any manner to present.
- All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR and YOUR licensees' annual 31. advertising, marketing, or promotional costs including, but not limited to, DOCUMENTS RELATING TO budgeting and receipts for goods or services sold or proposed to be sold under or in connection with the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" from the earliest date of YOUR or YOUR licensees alleged first use of the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" in any manner to present.
- All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR and YOUR licensees' customers or the 32. nature of the classes or types of purchasers to whom YOU and YOUR licensees market, including but not limited to, business, institutional, and individual customers, or purchasers of any good or service sold under or in connection with the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" from the earliest date of YOUR or YOUR licensees alleged first use of the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" in any manner to present.
- All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO any statements or opinions of any expert 33. obtained by YOU or any person acting for or on YOUR behalf regarding any issue in this case, including, but not limited to, any evidence of actual or likely confusion caused by Tovar's use of the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.
- 34. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR assertion in YOUR Second Affirmative Defense that "Tovar's claims are barred because she does not have priority in the WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS mark."

Page 14 of 34

35	. 4	All DOCK	MENTS	RE.	LATING	TO Y	YOUR as	sertion in Y	OUR	Fourth Af	firmative
Defense that Tovar "fraudulently attempted to procure a trademark registration(s) for the WHA											
HAPPEN	s IN	VEGAS	STAYS	IN	VEGAS	and	WHAT	HAPPENS	ON	SPRING	BREAK
trademark	."							·			

- 36. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR assertion in Paragraph 15 of YOUR Complaint that "Tovar's unauthorized, intentional and willful use of R&R's Mark creates a likelihood of confusion, mistake and deception as to the affiliation, connection, association, origin, sponsorship or approval of the goods and services of R&R with those of Tovar."
- 37. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR assertion in Paragraph 16 of YOUR Complaint that "actual confusion of consumers has occurred or will likely occur and will continue to occur as a result of the acts of Tovar."
- 38. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR assertion in Paragraph 33 of YOUR

 Complaint that the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" "is a distinctive and famous mark."
- 39. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR assertion in Paragraph 36 of YOUR Complaint that "Tovar acted to defraud and oppress R&R through its intentional and willful use of R&R's Mark, and by inducing others to infringe on R&R's Mark."
- 40. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR assertion in Paragraph 41 of YOUR Complaint that "Tovar has blurred the unique association which has heretofore existed between R&R Mark and the goods or services offered by that mark and/or tarnished the reputation of R&R through the use of its Mark."
 - 41. All DOCUMENTS RELATING TO YOUR document retention policy.

DATED: January 28, 2005

McDONOUGH HOLLAND & ALLEN PC Attorneys at Law

By:

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant Dorothy Tovar



21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case 3:04-cv-00145-LRH-PAL

Document 129-2 Filed 03/22/06 Page 15 of 34

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to FRCP 5, I certify that I am an employee of Robison, Belaustegui, Sharp & Low, and on this date I hand-delivered a true copy of the attached **DOROTHY TOVAR'S**

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE addressed to:

Kristin Jahn, Esq. Jahn & Associates 565 California Avenue Reno, Nevada 89509

Dated this 28th day of January, 2005.

Brench Moun

MHA

```
1
                  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                            DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 3
 4
      R & R PARTNERS, INC., a
      Nevada corporation,
 5
                     Plaintiff,
 6
                    vs.
                                          Case No. CV-N-04-0145
 7
      DOROTHY TOVAR, an individual, )
 8
                      Defendant.
 9
10
11
12
                                   CERTIFIED COPY
13
14
                      DEPOSITION OF TERRY JICINSKY
15
                                 Taken at
16
                            Las Vegas Hilton
                           3000 Paradise Road
17
                           Las Vegas, Nevada
18
                     On Wednesday, April 13, 2005
                             At 10:10 A.M.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
      Reported by: Mary E. Howard, CCR No. 762, RPR
```



1	brand?
2	A. Yes. I've had personal conversations with
3	clients and visitors who have used the statement "What
4	Happens in Vegas Stays in Vegas" in context of the
5	destination advertising campaign and the LVCVA's
6	development and implementation of that, and that the terms
7	are interchangeable.
8	Q. What does the LVCVA use the term "What
9	Happens" "What Happens Here Stays Here" for?
10	A. In printed advertising and television
11	advertising in connection with the Las Vegas logo which
12	contains the word Las Vegas.
13	Q. And the purpose of using that is it fair to
14	say that the purpose of using "What Happens Here Stays
15	Here" is to promote tourism and convention visitors to the
16	City of Las Vegas?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. All right.
19	Does the Las Vegas does the LVCVA attach
20	"What Happens Here Stays Here" to clothing?
21	A. Not on a regular basis. I have we have used
22	it once on internal T-shirts.
23	Q. Were those internal T-shirts publicly available?
24 .	Could the public purchase one of these T-shirts?
25	A. No, they could not.

LegaLink San Francisco (800) 869-9132 www.legalink.com

139 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3. STATE OF NEVADA SS COUNTY OF CLARK 5 I, Mary E. Howard, a duly commissioned Notary Public, Clark County, State of Nevada, do hereby certify: 6 7 That I reported the taking of the deposition of TERRY 8 JICINSKY, commencing on Wednesday, April 13, 2005, at the 9 hour of 10:10 a.m. 10 That prior to being examined, the witness was by 11 me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, 12 and nothing but the truth. That I thereafter transcribed my said shorthand notes into typewriting and that the 13 typewritten transcript is a complete, true, and accurate 14 15 transcription of my said shorthand notes. 16 I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative 17 or employee of the parties involved in the action. 18 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my 20 office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this day of april 21

22

23

24

25

Mary E. Howard, CCR No. 762,

Document 129-2

Filed 03/22/06

Page 19 of 34

Case 3:04-cv-00145-LRH-PAL

- 3. R & R objects to each Interrogatory to the extent it purports to call for information not in their possession.
- 4. R & R objects to each Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that would violate any individual's right to privacy.
- 5. R & R objects to the definition of "you" and "your" as being overbroad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous.
- 6. R & R objects to each Interrogatory to the extent it seeks to impose requirements and/or obligations inconsistent with and in addition to, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence and/or Local Rules of this Courts, and that are unduly burdensome and oppressive.
- 7. Discovery is continuing in this action. R & R reserve the right to supplement and amend its responses to these Interrogatories based on, but not limited to, information subsequently discovered, inadvertently omitted, or mistakenly stated in these response.
 - 8. R & R incorporate these General Objections into each and every response set forth below.
- 9. R & R objects to the interrogatories to the extent that they are premature. The following responses reflect R & R's present knowledge, information and belief, and may be subject to change or modification based on R & R's further discovery or on facts or circumstances that may become within R & R's knowledge.
- 10. R & R objects to "identifying" documents as being unduly burdensome. In lieu thereof, R & R will make available for inspection and copying relevant, responsive, and non-privileged documents or business records under Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d) from which the answer to any particular interrogatory may be derived or ascertained.
- 11. R & R objects to the interrogatories because they exceed the number allowed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure § 33(a).

RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1. State the basis for, and describe in detail all facts in support of, YOUR assertion in Paragraph 15 of YOUR Complaint that Tovar's use of the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS "creates a likelihood of confusion, mistake and deception as to the affiliation, connection, association, origin, sponsorship or approval of the goods and services of R&R with those of Tovar" and identify those documents and persons on which YOU will rely to support the allegation.

RESPONSE TO NO 1: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is a compound interrogatory requesting a response to three separate Interrogatories. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

- 1) The use of the phrase WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS creates a likelihood of confusion, mistake and deception as to the affiliation, connection, association, origin, sponsorship or approval of the goods and services of R&R with those of Tovar because R & R's famous mark is entitled to wide protection. Numerous newspaper, magazine articles were written about the success of the campaign and fame of the Mark. In addition, the Mark has been referred to on many television shows. R & R has the right to sell souvenir items, including clothing associated with it Mark.
- 2) Several entities have approached R & R to license the Mark WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS because they believe that the WHAT HAPPENS HERE STAYS HERE MARK is the same as the WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS MARK and owned by R & R. Entities which have requested a license from R & R include, Expedia, The Fairfield Inn, Freedom Yacht, Fernbank, Western Media Corporation, Seattle Mariners, Colorado

3) R & R will provide documents responsive to this Request.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2. State the basis for, and describe in detail all facts in support of, YOUR assertion in Paragraph 19 of YOUR Complaint that Tovar engaged in the "willful infringement" of YOUR alleged mark and identify those documents and persons on which YOU will rely to support the allegation.

RESPONSE TO NO. 2: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is a compound interrogatory requesting a response to three separate Interrogatories. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

- 4) Tovar began using the phrase WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS well after R & R's mark became distinctive and famous. Tovar provided no evidence that a search was done prior to her use or federal application for WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.
 - 5) Dorothy Tovar is likely to be the person to reply upon to support this allegation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3. State the basis for, and describe in detail all facts in support of, YOUR assertion in Paragraph 33 of YOUR Complaint that the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" "is a distinctive and famous mark," identify those documents and persons on which YOU will rely to support the allegation, and state the date the term allegedly became famous.

RESPONSE TO NO. 3: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is a compound interrogatory requesting a response to three separate Interrogatories. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

6) R & R 's mark is distinctive and famous because it engaged in a nationwide campaign to advertise the City of Las Vegas using the Mark and the Mark was immediately repeated by others including, but not limited to: Billy Crystal at the Oscars in 2004, the Tonight Show several times, Fraiser, the Today Show, Paula Zahn Now, CSI, Bold and Beautiful, Anything for Love, Scarborough Country, Buchanan & Press, Late Show with Craig Kilborn, Less than Perfect and Ed. Additionally, R&R and/or its licensee, LVCVA were approached by a number of entities that wanted a license to use the Mark which are listed in Interrogatory No. 1 above.

7) R & R will produce documents responsive to this request.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4. State the basis for, and describe in detail all facts in support of, YOUR assertion in Paragraph 41 of YOUR Complaint that "Tovar has blurred the unique association which has heretofore existed between R&R Mark and the goods or services offered by that mark and/or tarnished the reputation of R&R through the use of its Mark" and identify those documents and persons on which YOU will rely to support the allegation.

RESPONSE TO NO. 4: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Plaintiff further objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is a compound interrogatory requesting a response to four separate Interrogatories. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

- 8) Tovar sells clothing on an Internet site called Pure Pleasure. At least this use by Tovar tarnishes the goodwill associated with the Mark and the reputation of R & R.
- 9) Tovar's use of a colorable imitation of the Mark was not authorized by R & R and has and will continue to blur the distinctiveness of R & R's famous Mark.
 - 10) R & R will reply upon Tovar's Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Production of

Documents to support its claim along with documents which R & R will produce.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5. State the basis for, and describe in detail all facts in support of, YOUR assertion in Paragraph 36 of YOUR Complaint that "Tovar acted to defraud and oppress R&R through its intentional and willful use of R&R's Mark, and by inducing others to infringe on R&R's Mark" and identify those documents and persons on which YOU WILL RELY to support the allegation.

RESPONSE: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Plaintiff further objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is a compound interrogatory requesting a response to three separate Interrogatories. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

- 11) Tovar's use of WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS was intentional and willful because her use was subsequent to R & R's use and she did not conduct a search or receive an opinion on her use prior to adopting it for use on clothing or other items. Upon information and belief, Tovar licenses the rights to use the WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS slogan at least for use on t-shirts and souvenirs to third parties.
- 12) R & R will rely upon Tovar's Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Discovery Requests to support this claim.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6. State the basis for, and describe in detail all facts in support of, YOUR Affirmative Defenses and identify those documents and persons on which you will rely to support each such defense.

RESPONSE TO NO. 6: Plaintiff further objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by

the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is a compound interrogatory requesting a response to four separate Affirmative Defenses equaling a response to four separate Interrogatories. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

- 13) The First Affirmative Defense states that the Counterclaim fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim upon which relief can be granted. Defendant has no cause of action against R & R, but rather seeks a declaratory judgement of rights. Yet, no imminent threat of action against Tovar was alleged giving rise to a declaratory judgement action.
- 14) The Second Affirmative Defense is self explanatory. Tovar's first use of the WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS phrase occurred after R & R's first use of the WHAT HAPPENS HERE STAYS HERE Mark.
- 15) The Counterclaim lacks subject matter jurisdiction because there was no threat to Plaintiff of being sued giving rise to a declaratory judgment action.
- 16) Tovar had actual or constructive knowledge of R & R or LVCVA's use of the Mark prior to filing her federal application. Further, Tovar's use of the Mark is ornamental.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7. State the basis for, and describe in detail all facts in support of, YOUR assertion that YOU own trademark or other rights to the term "WhatHappens Here Stays Here."

RESPONSE TO NO. 7: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is compound. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

17) R & R first started using the Mark on or before December 27, 2002 and the Mark

of, YOUR assertion that YOU own trademark or other rights to the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.

RESPONSE TO NO. 8: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis

INTERROGATORY NO. 8. State the basis for, and describe in detail all facts in support

broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Plaintiff further objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for a legal conclusion. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is compound. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

18) The use of the Mark WHAT HAPPENS HERE STAYS HERE in conjunction with promoting Las Vegas as a destination implies that the "HERE" is LAS VEGAS. In each advertisement or promotion either the words "ONLY VEGAS" or "VEGAS" appears.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9. Describe in detail each and every type of good or service on which, or in connection with which, YOU or any entity authorized or licensed by YOU has ever used or intends to use the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."

RESPONSE TO NO. 9: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine and is compound. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

19) R & R has licensed the Mark to LVCVA to promote Las Vegas.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10 Describe in detail each and every type of good or service on

which, or in connection with which, YOU or any entity authorized or licensed by YOU has ever used or intends to use the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.

RESPONSE TO NO. 10: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

20) Clothing, souvenir items, promotion and advertising, event planning and entertainment.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11. Describe in detail each and every type of good or service on which, or in connection with which, YOU or any entity authorized or licensed by YOU has ever used or intends to use the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.

RESPONSE TO NO. 11:Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

21) R & R has not licensed the Mark WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS to anyone. R & R has considered adopting the colorable imitation WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS in conjunction with similar goods and services.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12. Identify and describe in detail the ordinary purchaser or expected ordinary purchaser of the goods and services sold or to be sold under YOUR "What Happens Here Stays Here" designation including, without limitation the level of care exercised by such an ordinary purchaser in purchasing the goods and services sold under the "What Happens Here Stays Here" designation.

RESPONSE TO NO. 12: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

22) The ordinary purchaser is very expansive and the marketing is not targeted to anyone in particular. The consumer for these services ranges from and includes tourists to business people,

INTERROGATORY NO.13. Identify with specificity the channels of trade and mode of distribution through which YOUR "What Happens Here Stays Here" designation is used or intended to be used including, but not limited to, the geographic area and the approximate percentage of total sales of goods or services through each such channel of trade and mode of distribution.

RESPONSE TO NO. 13: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it is a compound interrogatory requesting a response to three separate Interrogatories. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

- 23) The Mark is used on television, clips available on the web-site and print advertisements.
- 24) The advertisements using the mark are international in scope.
- 25) The total number of sales is measured by visitor dollar contribution which was \$32.8 billion in 2003 and \$33.7 billion in 2004.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14. List every advertisement in which YOUR or YOUR licensees have used or intend to use the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" and identify (i) in which media (television, print, internet) each advertisement appeared or will appear, (ii) the dates on which each advertisement appeared or will appear, and (iii) the total dollar amount expended for such advertising.

RESPONSE TO NO. 14: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory Nos 26, 27 and 28. Plaintiff further objects to the extent it is a compound interrogatory requesting a response to three separate Interrogatories. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

26) and 27) R & R will produce documents in response to i) and ii) above.

28) Documents will be produced from which this information can be derived.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15: List all third parties that have licensed from YOU, or inquired into licensing from YOU, any rights to the term "What Happens Here Stays Here."

RESPONSE TO NO. 15: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory because it exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No. 29. 29) LVCVA, Plaintiff also incorporates by reference its response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16. List all third parties that have licensed from YOU, or inquired into licensing from YOU, any rights to the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.

RESPONSE TO NO. 16: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No. 30. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

30) R & R incorporates by reference its response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17. Describe in detail each and every type of good or service on which, or in connection with which, YOU or any entity authorized or licensed by YOU has ever used any variation of the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" in which either of the instances of the word "Here" is replaced with some other word ("Variation").

RESPONSE TO NO. 17: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No. 31

INTERROGATORY NO. 18. Identify with specificity any consumers or potential consumers who have expressed to YOU or to any of YOUR licensees any question, concern, or

comment regarding confusion or similarity between the terms "What Happens Here Stays Here" and WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS.

RESPONSE TO NO. 18: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory 32. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

32) R & R incorporates by reference its response to Interrogatory No. 1.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19. Describe in detail any COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Association regarding the latter's use or potential use of the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS and identify the documents that RELATE TO those COMMUNICATIONS.

RESPONSE TO NO. 19: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege or work product doctrine and this exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No. 33 and 34.

INTERROGATORY NO. 20. Describe in detail any COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and the Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Association regarding this lawsuit and identify the documents that RELATE TO those COMMUNICATIONS.

RESPONSE TO NO. 20: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No. 35. Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it calls for information protected by the attorney client privilege or work product doctrine.

INTERROGATORY NO. 21. Describe in detail all damages YOU have suffered or may or will suffer due to Tovar's use of the term WHAT HAPPENS IN VEGAS STAYS IN VEGAS and identify the documents that RELATE TO those damages.

9

6

12

13

11

14

15

38) Yes.

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

RESPONSE TO NO. 21: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory Nos. 36 and 37. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

36) Plaintiff having just received Defendant's financials is in the process of calculating its damages and will provide a report at a date and time set forth in the Discovery Schedule.

INTERROGATORY NO. 22. Do YOU contend that YOUR alleged rights to the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" precludes Tovar from using as a trademark all terms in the WHAT HAPPENS [word(s)] STAY [word(s)] format?

RESPONSE TO NO. 22: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No. 38. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

INTERROGATORY NO. 23. State whether YOU affix the TM symbol, or some other symbol or statement providing notice of claimed trademark rights, to the term "What Happens Here Stays Here" and, if so, identify the goods or services on which the term with the symbol is affixed and state the first date the term with the symbol was affixed to those goods or services.

RESPONSE TO NO. 23: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No. 39, 40 and 41. Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

- 39) R & R uses the TM symbol on advertisements
- 40) The goods or services upon which the mark is fixed is on merchandise items such as clothing.
 - 41) The Mark was first used in commerce at least as early as December 27, 2002.

INTERROGATORY NO. 24. Identify all witnesses by name and address who YOU expect to call to testify at trial and state the subject matter of each witness' testimony.

RESPONSE TO NO. 24: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No. 42). Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows:

42) At least the following witnesses are expected to be called: Dorothy Tovar, Rob Dondero William Vassiliadis and Adrenaline Sports and /or Pure Pleasure Representatives.

INTERROGATORY NO. 25. Identify each person who assisted in any manner in the preparation of the answers to those Interrogatories.

RESPONSE TO NO. 25: Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the basis that it exceeds the number of Interrogatories allowed under the Federal Rules, this amounts to Interrogatory No.

43). Subject to these objections and without waiving any of them R & R responds as follows: Morgan Baumgartner.

Dated: March 15, 2005

JAHNA ASSOCIATES, LLC

Kirstin M. Jahn, Esq.

John P. Desmond JONES VARGAS

Attorneys for Plaintiff R & R Partners, Inc.

)ss.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA

COUNTY OF WASHOE

William Vassiliadis, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Chief Executive Officer of R & R Partners, Inc.; that he verifies for and on behalf of R & R Partners, Inc. the foregoing Response to Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff; that he is duly authorized to do so; that he has read the foregoing and knows the contents thereof; that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters he believes them to be true.

William Vassiliadis

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN TO before me this _______ day of March, 2005 by WILLIAM VASSILIADIS.

NOTARY PUBLIC

ASHLEY C. ROBINSON
Notary Public - State of Nevada
Appointment Recorded in Washoe County
No: 04-91967-2 - Expires September 29, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify that on March 15, 2005, I mailed a copy of Plaintiff's Response to Defendant's First Set of Request for Interrogatories to the following: Daniel Ballard, Esq. McDonough Holland & Allen, PC 555 Capitol Mall, 9th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Barry Breslow, Esq. Robison, Belaustegui, et al 71 Washington Street Reno, NV 89503

Document 129-2

Filed 03/22/06

Page 34 of 34

Case 3:04-cv-00145-LRH-PAL